

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/720,206	05/03/2001	Philip Guy	82402-3801	9235
7590 12/01/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER
Ade & Company 1700 360 Main Street		CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA		
Winnipeg Man			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA	·		1637	
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/720,206	GUY ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Suryaprabha Chunduru	1637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment is not entered.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: none.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-38</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:					
· —		JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants' arguments and request for reconsideration of the after-final amendment is fully considered and found not persuasive. Applicants argue that the amendment consists of restructuring the wording of the claims and additional definition of the nonsymbiotic plant hemoglobin to advance the examination process. Applicants further argue that the additional search is not reqired for the new limitations in the amended claims since the amendment incorporated the definition of nonsymbiotic hemoglobins from the instant specification for which a broader search was already has been carried out in the previous examination. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered, however, as indicated in the previous advisory action, examiner reinstates that the additional search is not the only criteria for not entering the amendment, it is also based on further consideration of the amendment. The new limitation in the claim 28, recites " wherein the nonsymbiotic plant hemoglobin is a nonsymbiotic plant hemoglobin that is not involved in oxygen diffusion", to the contrary, claim 33, which is dependent on claim 28 recites" increased oxygen uptake "meaning increased oxygen diffusion by the said nonsymbiotic plant hemoglobin. Thus the amendment consisting of the new limitations require further consideration mainly because of the conflicting claims (28 and 33), which would lead to further additional search.